BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMIS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE CANYON POWER PLANT

DATE APR 2 5 2008

RECD. APR 2 5 2008

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-9

COMMITTEE SCHEDULING ORDER

On March 12, 2008, the Application for Certification (AFC) was deemed data adequate for the twelve-month review process prescribed in Public Resources Code, section 25540.6. The Committee conducted a public informational hearing on April 15, 2008, to discuss the schedule and other issues of concern. The attached Committee Schedule is based upon Staff's proposed schedule and the related discussion at the informational hearing.

The Committee Schedule contains a list of events that must occur to complete the certification process in twelve months. Dates are provided for the period leading up to the Prehearing Conference, during which the balance of the schedule will be set.

Several milestones are dependent upon reviews to be conducted by federal, state, and local agencies, as well as the timely provision of additional information by the Applicant. A key agency review is the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Determination of Compliance.

We may modify the schedule at any time upon either our own motion or that of a party. [Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, § 1709.7(c)]. The schedule includes conditional status reports to determine whether case development is progressing satisfactorily, and to bring potential schedule delays or other relevant matters to our attention.

The Committee is interested in two issues that were touched on at the informational hearing related to Anaheim's future energy loads and resources. The first issue is how Anaheim has factored into its load forecast the Energy Commission policy adopted in its Integrated Energy Policy Report that municipal utilities should achieve all 100 percent of cost-effective energy efficiency potential by a combination of utility programs, state and local standards, and other programs. (IEPR, p. 99.) The second issue is what renewable resource additions Anaheim intends to pursue over the next ten years to meet its renewable portfolio standard goal of 20 percent in 2015. (See, IEPR, p. 132.) We invite the

Applicant, and any other party or interested person who wishes, to address these questions either in a report to the Committee or in its testimony at the evidentiary hearings on the AFC. The IEPR may be found at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-100-2007-008/CEC-100-2007-008-CMF.PDF.

Dated April 25, 2008, at Sacramento, California.

JEFFREY D. BYRON

Commissioner and Presiding Member

Collins (Exp

Canyon AFC Committee

ARTHUR H. ROSENFELD

Commissioner and Associate Member

art Rosenfeld

Canyon AFC Committee

Proof of Service List (Revised on $8/2a/\sqrt{2}$) filed with Original Document. Mailed from Sucramento on 4/25/38

COMMITTEE SCHEDULE FOR THE

CANYON POWER PLANT

(CEC DOCKET No. 07-AFC-9)

DATE	EVENT
March 12, 2008	AFC data adequate
April 15, 2008	Site Visit and Informational Hearing
April 23, 2008	Staff files data requests
May 23, 2008	Applicant files responses to Staff data requests
Early June, 2008	Staff conducts data response/issue resolution workshop
June 16, 2008	Parties file Status Reports
July 10, 2008	Local, State and Federal Agency draft determinations, including air district's Preliminary Determination of Compliance (PDOC) filed
30 days after PDOC filed	Staff files Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA)
August 22, 2008	(If PSA not yet filed) Parties file Status Reports
20 - 30 days after PSA filed	Staff conducts PSA workshop
September 8, 2008	Local, State and Federal Agency final determinations, including air district's Final DOC filed
30 days after FDOC filed	Staff files Final Staff Assessment (FSA)
October 22, 2008 and every 8 weeks thereafter	(If FSA not yet filed) Parties file Status Reports
20 – 30 days after FSA filed	Prehearing Conference
Tbd	Evidentiary Hearings
Tbd	Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD) issued for 30 day comment period
Tbd (near the end of PMPD comment period)	PMPD comment hearing
Tbd	Revised PMPD issued* for 15 day review period*
Tbd	Energy Commission Decision adoption hearing

Tbd = to be determined

^{*} if necessary

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
For the CANYON POWER PLANT
PROJECT

Docket No. 07-AFC-9

PROOF OF SERVICE (REVISED 8/22/2007)

INSTRUCTIONS: All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies or (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the Docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed or electronic copy of the document, which includes a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-9 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

<u>APPLICANT</u>

Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA) c/o City of Anaheim Public Utilities Department Steve Sciortino, Project Manager 201 S. Anaheim Blvd, Suite 802 Anaheim, CA 92805 ssciortino@anaheim.net swilson@anaheim.net

APPLICANT CONSULTANT

URS Corporation
Cindy Poire, Project Manager
130 Robin Hill Road, Suite 100
Santa Barbara, CA 93117
cindy poire@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Scott Galati Galati & Blek, LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias
CA Independent System Operator
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
LTobias@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

ENERGY COMMISSION

Jeffrey D. Byron Commissioner and Presiding Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Arthur Rosenfeld Commissioner and Associate Member arosenfe@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer Hearing Officer Pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

Che McFarlin
Project Manager
cmcfarli@energy.state.ca.us

Deborah Dyer Staff Counsel ddyer@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser's Office pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>Maggie Read</u>, declare that on <u>April 25, 2008</u>, I deposited copies of the attached <u>Committee Scheduling Order</u> in the United States mail at with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

MAGG/ERE/A